(F 100 (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 3240 / 2004

Petitioner

Prakash Chandra Pathak
S/o Late Mooratlal Pathak
Aged About 55 Years
Sub-Registrar, Teh. Balodabazaar
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

VERSUS

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
   Through: Secretary,
   Department of ~~Revenue~~ FINANCE & Planning,
   (Commercial Tax Department)
   D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya,
   Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. Inspector General Registration & Superintendent Stamps
   Department of Registration
   Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3. Shri P.P. Swarnkar
   Aged (not known) S/o (not known)
   Sub-Registrar, Registry Office
   Raipur, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 3253/04
Presented by Shri Raja Shetty
dated 14/9/04

RECEIVED COPY
14/9/04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 3240/2004

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
27-9-2004	Shri Raja Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General for respondents 1 and 2. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard on I.A. No. 6904/2004 for exemption from typed copy. Application is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to transfer of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner has been transferred from Baloda Bazar to Saraipali. He has two marriageable daughters of 22 and 24 years. Counsel submits that the petitioner has already remained at Saraipali for about 7 years. It is submitted that the petitioner has been transferred on administrative ground whereas the respondent no. 3 has been transferred on his own cost. It is submitted that he has been transferred to accommodate respondent no. 3. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 10 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. Shri Sharma submits that the petitioner has not been relieved till Saturday and he be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. If the petitioner has not been relieved, he be allowed to continue. It is made clear that if the petitioner has been relieved, this order will not entitle him to rejoin in the earlier place of posting. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No.2832/2004 also stands disposed of. C.c. as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

Patnak

[पीछे देखिये